

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NEW DE 02774 241756Z

73

ACTION OES-05

INFO OCT-01 NEA-10 ISO-00 FEA-01 ACDA-05 CIAE-00 INR-07

IO-11 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 DODE-00 AID-05

ERDA-05 /070 W

----- 110813

R 241253Z FEB 76

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4211

C O N F I D E N T I A L NEW DELHI 2774

FOLLOWING SENT ACTION NEW DELHI INFO CALCUTTA AND MADRAS
FROM BOMBAY FEB 24, 1976 REPEATED FOR YOUR INFO

QUOTE

BOMBAY 0451

EO 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR TECH IN

SUBJECT: NUCLEAR TEST PLANS

1. IN BICENTENNIAL RECEPTION CONVERSATION WITH EMBASSY PO COUNSELOR FEB 22, IAEC CHAIRMAN SETHNA SAID THAT ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF MAY 1974 NUCLEAR TEST HAD BEEN COMPLETED SOME TIME AGO. SETHNA REPEATED THIS EMPHATICALLY AGAIN WHEN QUERIED ABOUT IT. SETHNA SAID THERE HAD BEEN PROBLEMS IN DECIDING WHERE TO CONDUCT NEXT TEST. INDIANS WANTED THIS TO BE MUCH DEEPER THAN FIRST TEST, WHICH PRESENTED "SEISMIC WAVE" PROBLEMS IN AVAILABLE UNINHABITED AREAS WHICH HAD BEEN SURVEYED. SETHNA THOUGHT THAT PERHAPS SITE IN KUTCH AREA MIGHT PROVE TO BE MOST APPROPRIATE BUT THIS WAS STILL UNDER EXAMINATION.

2. SETHNA HAD "NO COMMENT" ON TIMING OF A SECOND INDIAN TEST OTHER THAN OBSERVATIONS DESCRIBED ABOVE. WHEN ASKED WHETHER SECOND TEST WOULD BE KEYED TO SPECIFIC PRACTICAL APPLICATION FOR PEACEFUL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PURPOSES, HE HESITATED AND SAID THAT IT WOULD BE "SEMI-PRACTICAL" BUT STILL VERY MUCH IN THE EXPERIMENTAL CATEGORY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NEW DE 02774 241756Z

3. COMMENT: THIS IS THE FIRST TIME ANY ATOMIC ENERGY OFFICIAL HAS SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT ANALYSIS OF THE FIRST INDIAN TEST HAD BEEN COMPLETED. UP TO THIS TIME, ALL SETHNA'S SUBORDINATES HAVE STRICTLY ADHERED TO THE "STILL UNDER STUDY" FORMULA IN CONVERSATION WITH CONSUL GENERAL. SETHNA HIMSELF, HOWEVER, HAD NOT ADDRESSED THE SUBJECT IN CONVERSATION WITH CONGEN FOR QUITE SOME TIME.

SIGNED COURTNEY

UNQUOTE

SAXBE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SEISMOLOGY, NUCLEAR TESTS, SURVEYS, NUCLEAR TEST SITES, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 FEB 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: saccheem
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976NEWDE02774
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760069-0865
From: NEW DELHI
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760219/aaaaaqku.tel
Line Count: 70
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION OES
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: saccheem
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 JUL 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 JUL 2004 by coburnhl>; APPROVED <05 OCT 2004 by saccheem>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NUCLEAR TEST PLANS
TAGS: PFOR, TECH, IN
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006